IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)
WEDNESDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR
PRESENT
THE HON'BLE SRI DEVINDER GUPTA,THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION NO : 9609 of 2004

Between:

V.Vijaya Lakshmi, W/o.Late Subrahmanyam,
R/o.Flat No.902, Pragathi Nagar, Opp:Pragathi Vidya Niketan,
Qutbullapur,Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 K.Nageswara Rao, S/o.Rama Rao,
R/o.Inampudi Village, Pamidimunnala Mandal,
Krishna Dist.
2 K.Rama Rao.,
R/o.Inampudi village, Pamidimunnala (M),
Krishna Dist.
3 Smt.Ranganayakamma, W/o.K.Rama Rao,
R/o.Inampudi village, Pamidimunnala (M),
Krishna Dist.
4 K.Krishna, s/o.K.Rama Rao,
D.No.858/E, R/o.BHEL Township,
Ramachandrapuram,Hyderabad.
5 The Superintendent of police, Krishna District.
6 The Director General of Police, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Habeas Corpus directing the respondents herein to forthwith produce my daughter K.Mythili and her two children set them at liberty ..

For the Petitioner:MR.SRINIVASA RAO BODDULURI

For the Respondent No.: THE ADVOCATE GENERAL

The Court Made the Following Order:
(per Sri Devinder Gupta, CJ)

Petitioner claims that her married daughter with two children who were staying with her son-in-law are not staying with him and thus she approached this Court seeking a Writ of Habeas Corpus for production of her married daughter and two children.

Whatever the petitioner has stated in the petition is based upon notice dated 4.6.2004 alleged to have been received from the lawyer of her son-in-law addressed to his wife (daughter of the petitioner) and three others. The children according to the petitioner are either with her married daughter or with her son-in-law.

On the basis of the averments made in the writ petition, we are not inclined to take any action in the matter. Moreover, petitioner has not lodged any complaint or made any grievance before the police in this regard. Hence, we cannot issue any directions as sought for by the petitioner for production of the alleged detenus.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

DEVINDER GUPTA,CJ

Date: 16th June, 2004

G. ROHINI,J

pnb

Sd/-
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To
1.The Superintendent of Police, Krishna district
2.The Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad
3.2CCs Advocate General, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad (OUT)
2.2CD copies
pnb